

On the Sum-Product Problem on Elliptic Curves

OMRAN AHMADI

Department of Combinatorics & Optimization

University of Waterloo

Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1, Canada

oahmadid@math.uwaterloo.ca

IGOR SHPARLINSKI

Department of Computing

Macquarie University

Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia

igor@comp.mq.edu.au

Abstract

Let $\mathbf{E}$ be an ordinary elliptic curve over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of q elements and $x(Q)$ denote the x -coordinate of a point $Q = (x(Q), y(Q))$ on $\mathbf{E}$. Given an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational point P of order T , we show that for any subsets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ of the unit group of the residue ring modulo T , at least one of the sets

$$\{x(aP) + x(bP) : a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x(abP) : a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

is large. This question is motivated by a series of recent results on the sum-product problem over finite fields and other algebraic structures.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11G05, 11L07, 11T23

Keywords: Sum-product problem, elliptic curves, character sums

1 Introduction

We fix an ordinary elliptic curve $\mathbf{E}$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of q elements.

We assume that $\mathbf{E}$ is given by an affine Weierstraß equation

$$\mathbf{E} : y^2 + (a_1x + a_3)y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6,$$

with some $a_1, \dots, a_6 \in \mathbb{F}_q$, see [17].

We recall that the set of all points on $\mathbf{E}$ forms an Abelian group, with the point at infinity $\mathcal{O}$ as the neutral element. As usual, we write every point $Q \neq \mathcal{O}$ on $\mathbf{E}$ as $Q = (x(Q), y(Q))$.

Let $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ denote the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points on $\mathbf{E}$ and let $P \in \mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be a fixed point of order T .

Let $\mathbb{Z}_T$ denote the residue ring modulo T and let $\mathbb{Z}_T^*$ be its unit group.

We show that for any sets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_T^*$, at least one of the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} &= \{x(aP) + x(bP) : a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}\}, \\ \mathcal{T} &= \{x(abP) : a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}\}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

is large.

This question is motivated by a series of recent results on the sum-product problem over $\mathbb{F}_q$ which assert that for any sets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$, at least one of the sets

$$\mathcal{G} = \{a + b : a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H} = \{ab : a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

is large, see [2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12] for the background and further references.

We remark that yet another variant of the sum-product problem for elliptic curves has recently been considered in [16] where it is shown that for sets $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ at least one of the sets

$$\{x(R) + x(S) : R \in \mathcal{R}, S \in \mathcal{S}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x(R \oplus S) : R \in \mathcal{R}, S \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

is large, where $\oplus$ denotes the group operation on the points of $\mathbf{E}$.

As in [16], our approach is based on the argument of M. Garaev [6] which we combine with a bound of certain bilinear character sums over points of $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ which have been considered in [1] (instead of the estimate of [15] used in [16]).

In fact here we present a slight improvement of the result of [1] that is based on using the argument of [7].

Throughout the paper, the implied constants in the symbols ‘ O ’ and ‘ $\ll$ ’ may depend on an integer parameter $\nu \geq 1$. We recall that $X \ll Y$ and $X = O(Y)$ are both equivalent to the inequality $|X| \leq cY$ with some constant $c > 0$.

Acknowledgements. This paper was initiated during a very enjoyable visit of I. S. at the Department of Combinatorics & Optimization of the University of Waterloo whose hospitality, support and stimulating research atmosphere are gratefully appreciated. Research of I. S. was supported by ARC grant DP0556431.

2 Bilinear Sums over Elliptic Curves

Let

$$T_{\rho, \vartheta}(\psi, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \rho(k) \vartheta(m) \psi(x(kmP)) \right|, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_T^*$, $\rho(k)$ and $\vartheta(m)$ are arbitrary complex functions supported on $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ with

$$|\rho(k)| \leq 1, \quad k \in \mathcal{K}, \quad \text{and} \quad |\vartheta(m)| \leq 1, \quad m \in \mathcal{M},$$

and ψ is a nontrivial additive character of $\mathbb{F}_q$.

These sums have been introduced and estimated in [1]. Here we obtain a stronger result by using the approach to sums of this type given in [7].

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathbf{E}$ be an ordinary elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let $P \in \mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be a point of order T . Then, for any fixed integer $\nu \geq 1$, for all subsets $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_T^*$ and complex functions $\rho(k)$ and $\vartheta(m)$ supported on $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ with*

$$|\rho(k)| \leq 1, \quad k \in \mathcal{K}, \quad \text{and} \quad |\vartheta(m)| \leq 1, \quad m \in \mathcal{M},$$

uniformly over all nontrivial additive characters ψ of $\mathbb{F}_q$

$$T_{\rho, \vartheta}(\psi, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}) \ll (\#\mathcal{K})^{1 - \frac{1}{2\nu}} (\#\mathcal{M})^{\frac{\nu+1}{\nu+2}} T^{\frac{\nu+1}{\nu(\nu+2)}} q^{\frac{1}{4(\nu+2)}} (\log q)^{\frac{1}{\nu+2}}.$$

Proof. We follow the scheme of the proof of [7, Lemma 4] in the special case of $d = 1$ (and also $\mathbb{Z}_T$ plays the role of $\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$). Furthermore, in our proof $\mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathbb{Z}_T^*$ play the roles of $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{L}_d$ and $\mathcal{U}_d$ in the proof of [7, Lemma 4], respectively. In particular, for some integer parameter L with

$$1 \leq L \leq T(\log q)^{-2} \quad (3)$$

we define $\mathcal{V}$ as the set of the first L prime numbers which do not divide $\#\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ (clearly we can assume that, say $T \geq (\log q)^3$, since otherwise the bound is trivial). We also note that in this case

$$\max_{v \in \mathcal{V}} v = O(\#\mathcal{V} \log q). \quad (4)$$

Then we arrive to the following analogue of [7, Bound (4)]:

$$T_{\rho, \vartheta}(\psi, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}) \leq \frac{(\#\mathcal{K})^{1-1/(2\nu)}}{\#\mathcal{V}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_T^*} M_t^{1/(2\nu)}$$

where

$$M_t = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_T} \left| \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \vartheta(vt) \chi_{\mathcal{M}}(vt) \psi(x(zvP)) \right|^{2\nu}$$

and $\chi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the characteristic function of the set $\mathcal{M}$. We only deviate from that proof at the point where the Weil bound is applied to the sums

$$\sum_{z \in \mathcal{H}} \exp \left(\frac{2\pi i a}{p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} z^{tv_j} - \sum_{j=\nu+1}^{2\nu} z^{tv_j} \right) \right) \ll \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2\nu} v_j q^{1/2}$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is an arbitrary subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $v_1, \dots, v_{2\nu}$ are positive integers (such that $(v_{\nu+1}, \dots, v_{2\nu})$ is not a permutation of $(v_1, \dots, v_{\nu})$). Here, as in [1] we use instead the following bound from [14]:

$$\sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{H} \\ Q \neq \mathcal{O}}} \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} x(v_j Q) - \sum_{j=\nu+1}^{2\nu} x(v_j Q) \right) \ll \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2\nu} v_j^2 q^{1/2},$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is the subgroup of $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ (in our particular case $\mathcal{H} = \langle P \rangle$ is generated by P) and $v_1, \dots, v_{2\nu}$ are the same as in the above, that is, such that $(v_{\nu+1}, \dots, v_{2\nu})$ is not a permutation of $(v_1, \dots, v_{\nu})$.

Now since $\#\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_q) = O(q)$, using an argument similar to the one given in [7] and recalling (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_t &\ll \sum_{v_1 \in \mathcal{V}} \dots \sum_{v_\nu \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\nu} \chi_{\mathcal{M}}(v_j t) \right) T \\ &\quad + \sum_{v_1 \in \mathcal{V}} \dots \sum_{v_{2\nu} \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2\nu} \chi_{\mathcal{M}}(v_j t) \right) q^{1/2} (\#\mathcal{V} \log q)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$M_t \ll \left(\sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \chi_{\mathcal{M}}(vt) \right)^{\nu} T + \left(\sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \chi_{\mathcal{M}}(vt) \right)^{2\nu} q^{1/2} (\#\mathcal{V} \log q)^2.$$

This leads to the following

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\rho, \vartheta}(\psi, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}) &\ll \frac{(\#\mathcal{K})^{1-\frac{1}{2\nu}}}{\#\mathcal{V}} T^{\frac{1}{2\nu}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_T^*} \left(\sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \chi_{\mathcal{M}}(vt) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \frac{(\#\mathcal{K})^{1-\frac{1}{2\nu}}}{\#\mathcal{V}} (\#\mathcal{V} \log q)^{1/\nu} q^{1/4\nu} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_T^*} \left(\sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \chi_{\mathcal{M}}(vt) \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_T^*} \left(\sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \chi_{\mathcal{M}}(vt) \right) = \#\mathcal{M} \#\mathcal{V},$$

and by the Cauchy inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_T^*} \left(\sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \chi_{\mathcal{M}}(vt) \right)^{1/2} &\leq (\#\mathbb{Z}_T^*)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_T^*} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \chi_{\mathcal{M}}(vt) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq T^{1/2} (\#\mathcal{M} \#\mathcal{V})^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\rho, \vartheta}(\psi, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}) &\ll \frac{(\#\mathcal{K})^{1-\frac{1}{2\nu}}}{(\#\mathcal{V})^{1/2}} T^{1/2\nu+1/2} (\#\mathcal{M})^{1/2} \\ &\quad + (\#\mathcal{K})^{1-\frac{1}{2\nu}} (\#\mathcal{V} \log q)^{1/\nu} q^{1/4\nu} \#\mathcal{M}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Let

$$L = \left\lfloor \frac{T^{\frac{v+1}{v+2}}}{q^{\frac{1}{2(v+2)}} (\log q)^{\frac{2}{v+2}} (\#\mathcal{M})^{\frac{v}{v+2}}} \right\rfloor.$$

We note that if $L = 0$ then

$$T^{\frac{v+1}{v+2}} \leq q^{\frac{1}{2(v+2)}} (\log q)^{\frac{2}{v+2}} (\#\mathcal{M})^{\frac{v}{v+2}} \leq q^{\frac{1}{2(v+2)}} (\log q)^{\frac{2}{v+2}} T^{\frac{v}{v+2}}$$

and thus

$$T \leq q^{1/2} (\log q)^2.$$

It is easy to check that in this case

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\#\mathcal{K})^{1-\frac{1}{2\nu}} (\#\mathcal{M})^{\frac{\nu+1}{\nu+2}} T^{\frac{\nu+1}{\nu(\nu+2)}} q^{\frac{1}{4(\nu+2)}} (\log q)^{\frac{1}{\nu+2}}}{\#\mathcal{K} \#\mathcal{M}} \\ & \geq (\#\mathcal{K})^{-\frac{1}{2\nu}} (\#\mathcal{M})^{-\frac{1}{\nu+2}} T^{\frac{\nu+1}{\nu(\nu+2)}} q^{\frac{1}{4(\nu+2)}} (\log q)^{\frac{1}{\nu+2}} \\ & \geq T^{-\frac{1}{2\nu}} T^{-\frac{1}{\nu+2}} T^{\frac{\nu+1}{\nu(\nu+2)}} q^{\frac{1}{4(\nu+2)}} (\log q)^{\frac{1}{\nu+2}} \\ & = T^{-\frac{1}{2(\nu+2)}} q^{\frac{1}{4(\nu+2)}} (\log q)^{\frac{1}{\nu+2}} \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

thus the result is trivial.

We now assume that $L \geq 1$ and choose $\mathcal{V}$ to be of cardinality $\#\mathcal{V} = L$. Then we have

$$\frac{T^{\frac{v+1}{v+2}}}{q^{\frac{1}{2(v+2)}} (\log q)^{\frac{2}{v+2}} (\#\mathcal{M})^{\frac{v}{v+2}}} \geq \#\mathcal{V} \geq \frac{T^{\frac{v+1}{v+2}}}{2q^{\frac{1}{2(v+2)}} (\log q)^{\frac{2}{v+2}} (\#\mathcal{M})^{\frac{v}{v+2}}},$$

and $L \leq T(\log q)^{-2}$ provided that q is large enough. Now the result follows from (5). $\square$

3 Lower Bound for the Sum-Product Problem on Elliptic Curves

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be arbitrary subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_T^*$. Then for the sets $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$, given by (1), we have*

$$\#\mathcal{S} \#\mathcal{T} \gg \min\{q \#\mathcal{A}, (\#\mathcal{A})^2 (\#\mathcal{B})^{5/3} q^{-1/6} T^{-4/3} (\log q)^{-2/3}\}.$$

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ab : a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

Following the idea of M. Garaev [6], we now denote by J the number of solutions (b_1, b_2, h, u) to the equation

$$x(hb_1^{-1}P) + x(b_2P) = u, \quad b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B}, h \in \mathcal{H}, u \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (6)$$

Since obviously the vectors

$$(b_1, b_2, h, u) = (b_1, b_2, ab_1, x(aP) + x(b_2P)), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B},$$

are all pairwise distinct solutions to (6), we obtain

$$J \geq \#\mathcal{A}(\#\mathcal{B})^2. \quad (7)$$

To obtain an upper bound on J we use Ψ to denote the set of all q additive characters of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and write Ψ^* for the set of nontrivial characters. Using the identity

$$\frac{1}{q} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \psi(z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, \\ 1, & \text{if } z = 0, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \sum_{b_1 \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{b_2 \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \psi(x(hb_1^{-1}P) - x(b_2P) - u) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \sum_{b_1 \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \psi(x(hb_1^{-1}P)) \sum_{b_2 \in \mathcal{B}} \psi(x(b_2P)) \sum_{u \in \mathcal{S}} \psi(-u) \\ &= \frac{(\#\mathcal{B})^2 \#\mathcal{S} \#\mathcal{H}}{q} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi^*} \sum_{b_1 \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \psi(x(hb_1^{-1}P)) \sum_{b_2 \in \mathcal{B}} \psi(x(b_2P)) \sum_{u \in \mathcal{S}} \psi(-u). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 1 with $\rho(k) = \vartheta(m) = 1$, $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{M} = \{b^{-1} : b \in \mathcal{B}\}$ and also taking $\nu = 1$, we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{b_1 \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \psi(x(hb_1^{-1}P)) \right| \ll \Delta$$

where

$$\Delta = (\#\mathcal{H})^{1/2}(\#\mathcal{B})^{2/3}T^{2/3}q^{1/12}(\log q)^{1/3}.$$

Therefore,

$$J \ll \frac{(\#\mathcal{B})^2\#\mathcal{S}\#\mathcal{H}}{q} + \frac{1}{q}\Delta \sum_{\psi \in \Psi^*} \left| \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \psi(x(bP)) \right| \left| \sum_{u \in \mathcal{S}} \psi(-u) \right|. \quad (9)$$

Extending the summation over ψ to the full set Ψ and using the Cauchy inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi^*} \left| \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \psi(x(bP)) \right| \left| \sum_{u \in \mathcal{S}} \psi(u) \right| \\ \leq \sqrt{\sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \left| \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \psi(x(bP)) \right|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \left| \sum_{u \in \mathcal{S}} \psi(u) \right|^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Recalling the orthogonality property (8), we derive

$$\sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \left| \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \psi(x(bP)) \right|^2 = q\#\{(b_1, b_2) \in \mathcal{B}^2 : b_1 \equiv \pm b_2 \pmod{T}\} \ll q\#\mathcal{B}.$$

Notice that $b_1 \equiv -b_2 \pmod{T}$ has been included since $x(P) = x(-P)$ for $P \in \mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Similarly,

$$\sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \left| \sum_{u \in \mathcal{S}} \psi(u) \right|^2 \leq q\#\mathcal{S}.$$

Substituting these bounds in (10) we obtain

$$\sum_{\psi \in \Psi^*} \left| \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \psi(x(bP)) \right| \left| \sum_{u \in \mathcal{S}} \psi(u) \right| \ll q\sqrt{\#\mathcal{B}\#\mathcal{S}},$$

which after inserting in (9), yields

$$J \ll \frac{(\#\mathcal{B})^2\#\mathcal{S}\#\mathcal{H}}{q} + \Delta(\#\mathcal{S})^{1/2}(\#\mathcal{B})^{1/2}. \quad (11)$$

Thus, comparing (7) and (11), we derive

$$\frac{(\#\mathcal{B})^2\#\mathcal{S}\#\mathcal{H}}{q} + \Delta(\#\mathcal{S})^{1/2}(\#\mathcal{B})^{1/2} \gg \#\mathcal{A}(\#\mathcal{B})^2.$$

Thus either

$$\frac{(\#\mathcal{B})^2\#\mathcal{S}\#\mathcal{H}}{q} \gg \#\mathcal{A}(\#\mathcal{B})^2, \quad (12)$$

or

$$\Delta(\#\mathcal{S})^{1/2}(\#\mathcal{B})^{1/2} \gg \#\mathcal{A}(\#\mathcal{B})^2. \quad (13)$$

If (12) holds, then we have

$$\#\mathcal{S}\#\mathcal{H} \gg q\#\mathcal{A}.$$

If (13) holds, then recalling the definition of Δ , we derive

$$(\#\mathcal{S})^{1/2}(\#\mathcal{H})^{1/2}(\#\mathcal{B})^{5/3}T^{2/3}q^{1/12}(\log q)^{1/3} \gg \#\mathcal{A}(\#\mathcal{B})^2.$$

It only remains to notice that $\#\mathcal{T} \geq 0.5\#\mathcal{H}$ to conclude the proof. $\square$

We now consider several special cases.

Corollary 3. *For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_T^*$ are arbitrary subsets with*

$$q^{1-\varepsilon} \geq \#\mathcal{A} \geq \#\mathcal{B} \geq T^{4/5+\varepsilon}q^{1/10},$$

then for the sets $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$, given by (1), we have

$$\#\mathcal{S}\#\mathcal{T} \gg (\#\mathcal{A})^{2+\delta}.$$

In particular, if $T \geq q^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ then there is always some nontrivial range of cardinalities $\#\mathcal{A}$ and $\#\mathcal{B}$ in which Corollary 3 applies.

Corollary 4. *for arbitrary subsets of $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_T^*$ with*

$$\#\mathcal{A} = \#\mathcal{B} \geq T^{1/2}q^{7/16}(\log q)^{1/4}$$

and for the sets $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$, given by (1), we have

$$\#\mathcal{S}\#\mathcal{T} \gg q\#\mathcal{A}.$$

4 Upper Bound for the Sum-Product Problem on Elliptic Curves

We now show that in some cases the sets $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ are not very big.

As usual, we use $\varphi(T) = \#\mathbb{Z}_T^*$ to denote the Euler function.

Theorem 5. *Let $q = p$ be prime and let $T \geq p^{3/4+\varepsilon}$. Then there are sets $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{Z}_T^*$ of cardinality*

$$\#\mathcal{A} = \#\mathcal{B} = (1 + o(1)) \frac{\varphi(T)^2}{2p}$$

such that for the sets $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$, given by (1), we have

$$\max\{\#\mathcal{S}, \#\mathcal{T}\} \leq (\sqrt{2} + o(1)) \sqrt{p\#\mathcal{A}}$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We recall the bound from [13] of exponential sums over subgroups of the group of points on elliptic curves which in particular implies that for any subgroup $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ the bound

$$\sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \exp(2\pi i \lambda x(G)/p) \ll p^{1/2}, \quad (14)$$

holds uniformly over all integer λ with $\gcd(\lambda, p) = 1$.

Let $\mu(d)$ be the Möbius function, that is, $\mu(1) = 1$, $\mu(m) = 0$ if $m \geq 2$ is not square-free and $\mu(m) = (-1)^{\omega(m)}$ otherwise, where $\omega(d)$ is the number of distinct prime divisors of $d \geq 2$, see [8, Section 16.2].

Using the inclusion-exclusion principle, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ \gcd(a,T)=1}}^T \exp(2\pi i \lambda x(aP)/p) &= \sum_{d|T} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ d|a}}^T \exp(2\pi i \lambda x(aP)/p) \\ &= \sum_{d|T} \mu(d) \sum_{b=1}^{T/d} \exp(2\pi i \lambda x(bP)/p). \end{aligned}$$

Using (14) and recalling that

$$\sum_{d|T} 1 = T^{o(1)}$$

see [8, Theorem 317], we derive

$$\sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ \gcd(a,T)=1}}^T \exp(2\pi i \lambda x(aP)/p) \ll p^{1/2+o(1)}.$$

Combining this with the Erdős-Turán inequality, see [4, Theorem 1.21], we see that for any positive integer H , there are $H\varphi(T)/p + O(p^{1/2+o(1)})$ elements $a \in \mathbb{Z}_T^*$ with $x(aP) \in [0, H-1]$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ be the set of these elements a . For the sets $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$, we obviously have

$$\#\mathcal{S} \leq 2H \quad \text{and} \quad \#\mathcal{T} \leq \varphi(T).$$

We now choose $H = \varphi(T)/2$. Since $T \geq p^{3/4+\varepsilon}$ and also since

$$\varphi(T) \gg \frac{T}{\log \log T},$$

see [8, Theorem 328], we have

$$\#\mathcal{A} = \#\mathcal{B} = \frac{\varphi(T)^2}{2p} + O(p^{1/2+o(1)}) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{\varphi(T)^2}{2p}$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore

$$\max\{\#\mathcal{S}, \#\mathcal{T}\} \leq (\sqrt{2} + o(1)) \sqrt{p\#\mathcal{A}}$$

which concludes the proof. $\square$

We remark that if $T \geq p^{23/24+\varepsilon}$, then the cardinality of the sets $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ of Theorem 5 is

$$\#\mathcal{A} = \#\mathcal{B} = T^{2+o(1)} p^{-1} \geq T^{1/2} p^{7/16} (\log p)^{1/4}$$

and thus Corollary 4 applies as well and we have

$$(\sqrt{2} + o(1)) \sqrt{p\#\mathcal{A}} \geq \max\{\#\mathcal{S}, \#\mathcal{T}\} \geq \sqrt{\#\mathcal{S}\#\mathcal{T}} \gg \sqrt{p\#\mathcal{A}}$$

showing that both Corollary 4 and Theorem 5 are tight in this range.

5 Comments

We remark that using Theorem 1 with other values of ν in the scheme of the proof of Theorem 2 one can obtain a series of other statements. However they cannot be formulated as a lower bound on the product $\#\mathcal{S}\#\mathcal{T}$. Rather they only give a lower bound on $\max\{\#\mathcal{S}, \#\mathcal{T}\}$ which however may in some cases be more precise than those which follow from Theorem 2.

Certainly extending the range in which the upper and lower bounds on $\#\mathcal{S}$ and $\#\mathcal{T}$ coincide is also a very important question.

References

- [1] W. D. Banks, J. B. Friedlander, M. Z. Garaev and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘Double character sums over elliptic curves and finite fields’, *Pure and Appl. Math. Quart.*, **2** (2006), 179–197.
- [2] J. Bourgain, A. A. Glibichuk and S. V. Konyagin, ‘Estimates for the number of sums and products and for exponential sums in fields of prime order’, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **73** (2006), 380–398.
- [3] J. Bourgain, N. Katz and T. Tao, ‘A sum product estimate in finite fields and Applications’, *Geom. Funct. Analysis*, **14** (2004), 27–57.
- [4] M. Drmota and R. Tichy, *Sequences, discrepancies and applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [5] M. Z. Garaev, ‘An explicit sum-product estimate in $\mathbb{F}_p$ ’, *Intern. Math. Res. Notices*, **2007** (2007), Article ID rnm035, 1–11.
- [6] M. Z. Garaev, ‘The sum-product estimate for large subsets of prime fields’, *Preprint, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (to appear).
- [7] M. Z. Garaev and A. A. Karatsuba, ‘New estimates of double trigonometric sums with exponential functions’, *Arch. Math.*, **87** (2006), 33–40.
- [8] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1979.

- [9] D. Hart, A. Iosevich, D. Koh and M. Rudnev, ‘Averages over hyperplanes, sum-product theory in finite fields, and the Erdos-Falconer distance conjecture’, *Preprint*, 2007 (available from <http://arxiv.org/abs/0707.3473>).
- [10] D. Hart, A. Iosevich and J. Solymosi, ‘Sums and products in finite fields via Kloosterman Sums’, *Intern. Math. Res. Notices*, **2007** (2007), Article ID rnm007, 1–14.
- [11] N. H. Katz and C.-Y. Shen, ‘Garaev’s inequality in finite fields not of prime order’, *J. Anal. Combin.*, **3** (2008), Article #3.
- [12] N. H. Katz and C.-Y. Shen, ‘A slight improvement to Garaev’s sum product estimate’, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (to appear).
- [13] D. R. Kohel and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘Exponential sums and group generators for elliptic curves over finite fields’, *Proc. the 4th Algorithmic Number Theory Symp., Lect. Notes in Comp. Sci.*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, **1838** (2000), 395–404.
- [14] T. Lange and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘Certain exponential sums and random walks on elliptic curves’, *Canad. J. Math.*, **57** (2005), 338–350.
- [15] I. E. Shparlinski, ‘Bilinear character sums over elliptic curves’, *Finite Fields and Their Appl.*, **14** (2008), 132–141.
- [16] I. E. Shparlinski, ‘On the elliptic curve analogue of the sum-product problem’, *Finite Fields and Their Appl.*, (to appear).
- [17] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.